# Multiple Linear Regression and Interactions 

 EDS 222Tamma Carleton
Fall 2023

## Announcements/check-in

- Midterm review: In Discussion Section this week and in office hours any time
- Extra study resources on our Resources page
- Answer key to practice questions
- Example of testing OLS assumptions
- Derivation of omitted variables bias
- Moving office hours this week for the Mantell Symposium in EJ and Conservation Innovation (please reach out if this is a problem - happy to add time to meet with you as needed)
- Assignment 3: Posted $10 / 24$ alongside answer key. Grading will be pass/fail, due 11/7 at 5pm


## Midterm Exam

Two parts:

## Midterm Exam

## Two parts:

## Part 1: Short answer questions (~3)

- Focus on definitions of key concepts
- You should know key definitions (e.g., expectation/mean, median, variance, $R^{2}$, OLS slope and intercept formulas for simple linear regression)
- You do not need to memorize math rules (e.g., $\left.\operatorname{var}(a x+b)=a^{2} \operatorname{var}(x)\right)$
- Be able to interpret probability distributions, scatter plots, Q-Q plots, boxplots, linear regression output (not $p$-values or $t$-statistics)


## Midterm Exam

## Two parts:

## Part 2: Long answer questions (~2)

- Each question poses a data science problem and walks you through a set of analysis steps
- Very similar to assignments but focused on interpretation of existing code and output
- May include some minimal pseudo-coding
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## Today

Model fit in multiple regression
Nonlinear relationships in linear models, adjusted $R^{2}$

Interaction effects
Implementation and interpretation
Multicollinearity
Problems and (some) solutions

## Model fit in multiple regression

## Nonlinear transformations

- Our linearity assumption requires that parameters enter linearly (i.e., the $\beta_{k}$ multiplied by variables)
- We allow nonlinear relationships between $y$ and the explanatory variables $x$.


## Example: Polynomials

$$
\begin{gathered}
y_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{i}+\beta_{2} x_{i}^{2}+u_{i} \\
y_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{i}+\beta_{2} x_{i}^{2}+\beta_{3} x_{i}^{3}+u_{i} \\
y_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{i}+\beta_{2} x_{i}^{2}+\beta_{3} x_{i}^{3}+\beta_{4} x_{i}^{4}+u_{i}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Polynomials

- Consider the relationship between temperature and harmful algal blooms (this is a real thing!).
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## Polynomials

- Consider the relationship between temperature and harmful algal blooms (this is a real thing!).
- Suppose we sampled many coastal locations across the US, and measured the total surface water area at each site that had blooms present.
- Perhaps we have scientific evidence to suggest there is a nonlinear effect of temperature on extent of the blooms.
- We might want to estimate the following model:

$$
\text { area }_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} \text { temperature }_{i}+\beta_{2} \text { temperature } i_{i}^{2}+u_{i}
$$

## Polynomials

$$
\text { area }_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} \text { temperature }_{i}+\beta_{2} \text { temperature }{ }_{i}^{2}+u_{i}
$$



## Polynomials

## Estimating polynomial regressions in R, option 1:

```
blooms_df = blooms_df %>% mutate(temp2 = temp^2)
summary(lm(area~temp+temp2, data=blooms_df))
#>
#> Call:
#> lm(formula = area ~ temp + temp2, data = blooms_df)
```

\#>
\#> Residuals:

| \# | Min | 1Q | Median | 3Q | Max |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| \# | -12.5966 | -2.0923 | -0.1423 | 1.9951 | 9.4874 |

\#>
\#> Coefficients:
\#> Estimate Std. Error $t$ value $\operatorname{Pr}(>|t|)$
\#> (Intercept) 0.06363 0.29249 0.218 0.828
\#> temp $0.62544 \quad 0.44007 \quad 1.421 \quad 0.156$
\#> temp2 $1.92118 \quad 0.1416013 .567<2 \mathrm{e}-16$ ***
\#> ---
\#> Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
\#>
\#> Residual standard error: 3.021 on 997 degrees of freedom

## Polynomials

## Estimating polynomial regressions in R, option 2:

```
summary(lm(area~temp+I(temp^2), data=blooms_df))
#>
#> Call:
#> lm(formula = area ~ temp + I(temp^2), data = blooms_df)
#>
#> Residuals:
```

| \# $>$ | Min | 1Q | Median | 3Q | Max |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| \# $>$ | -12.5966 | -2.0923 | -0.1423 | 1.9951 | 9.4874 |

\#>
\#> Coefficients:
\#> Estimate Std. Error t value $\operatorname{Pr}(>|t|)$
\#> (Intercept) 0.06363 0.29249 0.218 0.828
\# temp $0.62544 \quad 0.44007 \quad 1.421 \quad 0.156$
\#> I(temp^2) 1.92118 0.14160 13.567 <2e-16 ***
\#> ---
\#> Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
\#>
\#> Residual standard error: 3.021 on 997 degrees of freedom

## Polynomials

## Watch out! Some things are not intuitive:

```
summary(lm(area~poly(temp,2), data=blooms_df))
#>
#> Call:
#> lm(formula = area ~ poly(temp, 2), data = blooms_df)
#>
#> Residuals:
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrr} 
\#> & Min & 1Q & Median & 3Q & Max \\
\# & -12.5966 & -2.0923 & -0.1423 & 1.9951 & 9.4874
\end{tabular}
#>
#> Coefficients:
#> Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
#> (Intercept) 7.05901 0.09554 73.88 <2e-16 ***
#> poly(temp, 2)1 173.40269 3.02137 57.39 <2e-16 ***
#> poly(temp, 2)2 40.99164 3.02137 13.57 <2e-16 ***
#> ---
#> Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
#>
#> Residual standard error: 3.021 on 997 degrees of freedom
#> Multiple R-squared: 0.7772, Adjusted R-squared: 0.7768
```


## Polynomials

Watch out! Some things are not intuitive (need raw=TRUE for coefficients to be interpretable -- see helpful Stack Overflow on this here):

```
summary(lm(area~poly(temp,2, raw=TRUE), data=blooms_df))
```

```
#>
#> Call:
#> lm(formula = area ~ poly(temp, 2, raw = TRUE), data = blooms_df)
#>
#> Residuals:
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrr} 
\# \(>\) & Min & 1Q & Median & 3Q & Max \\
\# \(>\) & -12.5966 & -2.0923 & -0.1423 & 1.9951 & 9.4874
\end{tabular}
#>
#> Coefficients:
#> Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
#> (Intercept) 0.06363 0.29249 0.218 0.828
#> poly(temp, 2, raw = TRUE)1 0.62544 0.44007 1.421 0.156
#> poly(temp, 2, raw = TRUE)2 1.92118 0.14160 13.567 <2e-16 ***
#> ---
#> Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
#>
```


## Polynomials

Estimate Std. Error t value $\operatorname{Pr}(>|\mathbf{t}|)$

| (Intercept) | 0.0636289 | 0.292487 | 0.2175444 | 0.8278286 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| temp | 0.6254436 | 0.440068 | 1.4212430 | 0.1555588 |
| I(temp^2) | 1.9211754 | 0.141604 | 13.5672357 | 0.0000000 |
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## Polynomials

## Estimate Std. Error t value $\operatorname{Pr}(>|\mathbf{t}|)$

| (Intercept) | 0.0636289 | 0.292487 | 0.2175444 | 0.8278286 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| temp | 0.6254436 | 0.440068 | 1.4212430 | 0.1555588 |
| I(temp^2) | 1.9211754 | 0.141604 | 13.5672357 | 0.0000000 |

How do we interpret these coefficients?

- Intercept: Predicted area of bloom when temperature $=0$ degrees C
- $\hat{\beta}_{1}($ coeff. on $t e m p)$ and $\hat{\beta}_{2}\left(\right.$ coeff. on $\left.t e m p^{2}\right)$... ???

Go back to Algebra II (see here for a refresher): $y=a x^{2}+b x+c . a$ tells you whether the U-shape faces up or down, and how narrow or wide it is; $b$ tells you whether the U-shape shifts left or right away from the $y$-axis; $c$ simply shifts the U-shape up or down.

## Polynomials

## Don't worry about the Algebra II if it doesn't feel familiar!

$$
\text { area }_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} \text { temperature }_{i}+\beta_{2} \text { temperature } i_{i}^{2}+u_{i}
$$

You can always:

- Graph your predicted values using geom_smooth() (see Lab 5)
- Put your coefficients into an automated grapher function (online or on your Mac)
- Use the regression output directly, along with a little basic math (e.g., predict area at temperature $=15$, then at temperature $=16$, and take the difference!)


## Polynomials

Don't worry about the Algebra II if it doesn't feel familiar!

$$
\text { area }_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} \text { temperature }_{i}+\beta_{2} \text { temperature } i_{i}^{2}+u_{i}
$$

You can always:

- Graph your predicted values using geom_smooth() (see Lab 5)
- Put your coefficients into an automated grapher function (online or on your Mac)
- Use the regression output directly, along with a little basic math (e.g., predict area at temperature $=15$, then at temperature $=16$, and take the difference!)

Key insight: effect of an increase in temperature on algal bloom area depends on the baseline level of temperature! (true for all nonlinear relationships)

## Nonlinear transformations

Other examples:

- Polynomials and interactions:
$y_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{1 i}+\beta_{2} x_{1 i}^{2}+\beta_{3} x_{2 i}+\beta_{4} x_{2 i}^{2}+\beta_{5}\left(x_{1 i} x_{2 i}\right)+u_{i}$ (more on this today)
- Exponentials and logs: $\log \left(y_{i}\right)=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{1 i}+\beta_{2} e^{x_{2 i}}+u_{i}$ (more on this next week)
- Indicators and thresholds: $y_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{1 i}+\beta_{2} \mathbb{I}\left(x_{1 i} \geq 100\right)+u_{i}$


## Nonlinear transformations

Other examples:

- Polynomials and interactions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{1 i}+\beta_{2} x_{1 i}^{2}+\beta_{3} x_{2 i}+\beta_{4} x_{2 i}^{2}+\beta_{5}\left(x_{1 i} x_{2 i}\right)+u_{i} \text { (more on this } \\
& \text { today) }
\end{aligned}
$$

- Exponentials and logs: $\log \left(y_{i}\right)=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{1 i}+\beta_{2} e^{x_{2 i}}+u_{i}$ (more on this next week)
- Indicators and thresholds: $y_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{1 i}+\beta_{2} \mathbb{I}\left(x_{1 i} \geq 100\right)+u_{i}$

In all cases, the effect of a change in $x$ on $y$ will vary depending on your baseline level of $x$. This is not true with linear relationships!

## Nonlinear transformations

Transformation challenge: (literally) infinite possibilities. What do we pick?


## Nonlinear transformations

$$
y_{i}=\beta_{0}+u_{i}
$$
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## Nonlinear transformations

$$
y_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x+\beta_{2} x^{2}+\beta_{3} x^{3}+\beta_{4} x^{4}+u_{i}
$$



## Nonlinear transformations

$$
y_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x+\beta_{2} x^{2}+\beta_{3} x^{3}+\beta_{4} x^{4}+\beta_{5} x^{5}+u_{i}
$$



## Nonlinear transformations

Truth: $y_{i}=2 e^{x}+u_{i}$


## Model fit with multiple regressors

Measures of goodness of fit try to analyze how well our model describes (fits) the data.
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Common measure: $R^{2}$ [R-squared] (a.k.a. coefficient of determination)

$$
R^{2}=1-\frac{\sum_{i}\left(y_{i}-\hat{y}_{i}\right)^{2}}{\sum_{i}\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)^{2}}=1-\frac{\sum_{i} e_{i}^{2}}{\sum_{i}\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)^{2}}
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Recall $\sum_{i}\left(y_{i}-\hat{y}_{i}\right)^{2}=\sum_{i} e_{i}^{2}$ is the "sum of squared errors".

## Model fit with multiple regressors

Measures of goodness of fit try to analyze how well our model describes (fits) the data.

Common measure: $R^{2}$ [R-squared] (a.k.a. coefficient of determination)

$$
R^{2}=1-\frac{\sum_{i}\left(y_{i}-\hat{y}_{i}\right)^{2}}{\sum_{i}\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)^{2}}=1-\frac{\sum_{i} e_{i}^{2}}{\sum_{i}\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)^{2}}
$$

Recall $\sum_{i}\left(y_{i}-\hat{y}_{i}\right)^{2}=\sum_{i} e_{i}^{2}$ is the "sum of squared errors".
$R^{2}$ literally tells us the share of the variance in $y$ our current models accounts for. Thus $0 \leq R^{2} \leq 1$.

## Model fit with multiple regressors

The problem: As we add variables to our model, $R^{2}$ mechanically increases.
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## Model fit with multiple regressors

The problem: As we add variables to our model, $R^{2}$ mechanically increases.
Intuition: Even if our added variable has no true relation to $y$, it can help lower $e_{i}$ by fitting to the sampling noise

One solution: Penalize for the number of variables, e.g., adjusted $R^{2}$ :

$$
\bar{R}^{2}=1-\frac{\sum_{i}\left(y_{i}-\hat{y}_{i}\right)^{2} /(n-k-1)}{\sum_{i}\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)^{2} /(n-1)}
$$

Where $k$ is the number of independent variables in the regression model and $n$ is the total number of observations in your data.

Note: Adjusted $R^{2}$ need not be between 0 and 1 .

## Model fit with multiple regressors

We often use measures of model fit (or model "performance") to help choose a regression model from among multiple possibilities

- Adjusted $R^{2}$ is just one of many possible performance metrics
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- Lots more on the topic of model selection in EDS $232 \bullet 0$


## Model fit with multiple regressors

We often use measures of model fit (or model "performance") to help choose a regression model from among multiple possibilities

- Adjusted $R^{2}$ is just one of many possible performance metrics
- For example, Akaike Information Criterion (AIC), Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC), Mean Squared Error (MSE), ...
- Lots more on the topic of model selection in EDS $232 \bullet 0$
- Don't forget the theory behind your data science!


## Interactions

## Interactions

Interactions allow the effect of one variable to change based upon the level of another variable.

## Examples

1. Does the effect of schooling on pay change by race?
2. Does the effect of temperature on ozone change by humidity?
3. Does the effect of UV radiation on cancer change by gender?
4. ??

## Interactions

Previously, we considered a model that allowed Toxics Release Inventory (TRI) census tracts and non-TRI tracts to have different average cancer rates, but the model assumed the effect of UV radiation on cancer was the same for everyone:

$$
\operatorname{Cancer}_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} \mathrm{UV}_{i}+\beta_{2} \mathrm{TRI}_{i}+u_{i}
$$

but we can also allow the effect of UV to vary by TRI status:

$$
\text { Cancer }_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} \mathrm{UV}_{i}+\beta_{2} \mathrm{TRI}_{i}+\beta_{3} \mathrm{UV}_{i} \times \mathrm{TRI}_{i}+u_{i}
$$
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Previously, we considered a model that allowed Toxics Release Inventory (TRI) census tracts and non-TRI tracts to have different average cancer rates, but the model assumed the effect of UV radiation on cancer was the same for everyone:

$$
\operatorname{Cancer}_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} \mathrm{UV}_{i}+\beta_{2} \mathrm{TRI}_{i}+u_{i}
$$

but we can also allow the effect of UV to vary by TRI status:

$$
\text { Cancer }_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} \mathrm{UV}_{i}+\beta_{2} \mathrm{TRI}_{i}+\beta_{3} \mathrm{UV}_{i} \times \mathrm{TRI}_{i}+u_{i}
$$

The multiplication of $U V$ by $T R I$ is called an interaction term

## Interactions

The model where UV radiation has the same effect for all tracts (non-TRI and TRI):

$$
\operatorname{Cancer}_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} \mathrm{UV}_{i}+\beta_{2} \operatorname{TRI}_{i}+u_{i}
$$



## Interactions

The model where UV radiation's effect can differ by TRI status of a tract (non-TRI and TRI):

$$
\operatorname{Cancer}_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} \mathrm{UV}_{i}+\beta_{2} \mathrm{TRI}_{i}+\beta_{3} \mathrm{UV}_{i} \times \mathrm{TRI}_{i}+u_{i}
$$



## Interactions

$$
\operatorname{Cancer}_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} \mathrm{UV}_{i}+\beta_{2} \mathrm{TRI}_{i}+\beta_{3} \mathrm{UV}_{i} \times \mathrm{TRI}_{i}+u_{i}
$$

Interpreting coefficients can be a little tricky -- carefully working through the math helps.

Basic idea: rearrange to uncover a single "slope" term for your variable of interest.

## Interactions

$$
\operatorname{Cancer}_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} \mathrm{UV}_{i}+\beta_{2} \mathrm{TRI}_{i}+\beta_{3} \mathrm{UV}_{i} \times \mathrm{TRI}_{i}+u_{i}
$$

Interpreting coefficients can be a little tricky -- carefully working through the math helps.

Basic idea: rearrange to uncover a single "slope" term for your variable of interest.

- Effect of one more mW/cm^2 of UV radiation on cancer rates:

$$
\text { Cancer }_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{2} T R I_{i}+\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{3} T R I_{i}\right) \times U V_{i}+u_{i}
$$

This helps you see that the effect of a one unit increase in $U V$ on Cancer is $\beta_{1}+\beta_{3} T R I$, so it will vary by $T R I$ status:

- Effect for $T R I$ tracts $=\beta_{1}+\beta_{3}$
- Effect for non $-T R I$ tracts $=\beta_{1}$


## Interactions

$$
y_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{1 i}+\beta_{2} x_{2 i}+\beta_{3} x_{1 i} \times x_{2 i}+u_{i}
$$

In general, interaction models should be used when the level of one variable influences the relationship between the outcome and another variables
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## Interactions

$$
y_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{1 i}+\beta_{2} x_{2 i}+\beta_{3} x_{1 i} \times x_{2 i}+u_{i}
$$

In general, interaction models should be used when the level of one variable influences the relationship between the outcome and another variables

For example:

- Income changes the relationship between extreme heat and mortality (Carleton et al., 2022)
- Gender changes the relationship between air pollution and labor productivity (Graff-Zivin and Neidell, 2021)
- Other examples?


## Interactions

$$
y_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{1 i}+\beta_{2} x_{2 i}+\beta_{3} x_{1 i} \times x_{2 i}+u_{i}
$$

Interpreting interaction models means you have to consider the interaction term when computing slopes.

For example: What is the "slope" of the relationship between $y$ and $x_{1}$ ?

## Interactions

$$
y_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{1 i}+\beta_{2} x_{2 i}+\beta_{3} x_{1 i} \times x_{2 i}+u_{i}
$$

Interpreting interaction models means you have to consider the interaction term when computing slopes.

For example: What is the "slope" of the relationship between $y$ and $x_{1}$ ?

$$
y_{i}=\beta_{0}+\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{3} x_{2 i}\right) x_{1 i}+\beta_{2} x_{2 i}+u_{i}
$$

Key insight: Higher $x_{i 2}$ increases the slope of the relationship between $y$ and $x_{1}$ ! The inverse is also true.

For two continuous random variables, we now have infinitely many slopes for each variable, depending on the level of the other independent variable.

## Interactions

Putting it all in one place...interaction models with two continuous variables:

$$
y_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{1 i}+\beta_{2} x_{2 i}+\beta_{3} x_{1 i} \times x_{2 i}+u_{i}
$$
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$$

- $\beta_{3}$ is the difference in the effect of $x_{1}$ on $y$ between an individual with $x_{2}=\ell+1$ and an individual with $x_{2}=\ell$
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## Interactions

Putting it all in one place...interaction models with two continuous variables:

$$
y_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{1 i}+\beta_{2} x_{2 i}+\beta_{3} x_{1 i} \times x_{2 i}+u_{i}
$$

- $\beta_{3}$ is the difference in the effect of $x_{1}$ on $y$ between an individual with $x_{2}=\ell+1$ and an individual with $x_{2}=\ell$
- $\beta_{3}$ is also the difference in the effect of $x_{2}$ on $y$ between an individual with $x_{1}=\ell+1$ and an individual with $x_{1}=\ell$
- $\beta_{0}$ is the predicted level of $y$ when both $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ are zero
- $\beta_{1}$ is the effect of $x_{1}$ on $y$ when $x_{2}$ is zero


## Interactions

Putting it all in one place...interaction models with two continuous variables:

$$
y_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{1 i}+\beta_{2} x_{2 i}+\beta_{3} x_{1 i} \times x_{2 i}+u_{i}
$$

- $\beta_{3}$ is the difference in the effect of $x_{1}$ on $y$ between an individual with $x_{2}=\ell+1$ and an individual with $x_{2}=\ell$
- $\beta_{3}$ is also the difference in the effect of $x_{2}$ on $y$ between an individual with $x_{1}=\ell+1$ and an individual with $x_{1}=\ell$
- $\beta_{0}$ is the predicted level of $y$ when both $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ are zero
- $\beta_{1}$ is the effect of $x_{1}$ on $y$ when $x_{2}$ is zero
- $\beta_{2}$ is the effect of $x_{2}$ on $y$ when $x_{1}$ is zero


## Interactions in R

This will be the focus of Lab on Thursday. As a preview...just like many other aspects of regression analysis, interactions are easy to implement but difficult to carefully interpret in R:

## Interactions in R

This will be the focus of Lab on Thursday. As a preview...just like many other aspects of regression analysis, interactions are easy to implement but difficult to carefully interpret in R:

```
summary(lm(hwy ~ displ + year + displ:year, data = mpg))
#>
#> Call:
#> lm(formula = hwy ~ displ + year + displ:year, data = mpg)
#>
#> Residuals:
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrr} 
\#> & Min & \(1 Q\) & Median & \(3 Q\) & Max \\
\(\#>\) & -7.8595 & -2.4360 & -0.2103 & 1.6037 & 15.3677
\end{tabular}
#>
#> Coefficients:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \# & Estimate & Error & t value & \(\operatorname{Pr}(>|t|)\) \\
\hline \#> (Intercept) & 35.7922 & 0.9794 & 36.546 & \(<2 \mathrm{e}-16\) \\
\hline \#> displ & -3.7684 & 0.2788 & -13.517 & \(<2 e-16\) \\
\hline > year2008 & 0.3445 & 1.4353 & 0.240 & 0.811 \\
\hline \#> displ:year2008 & 0.3052 & 0.3882 & 0.786 & 0.433 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
#> ---
```


## Multicollinearity

## Multicollinearity

$$
y_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{1 i}+\beta_{2} x_{2 i}+\cdots+\beta_{k} x_{k i}+u_{i}
$$

## What is it?

- When 2 (collinearity) or more (multicollinearity) of your independent variables are highly correlated with one another


## Multicollinearity

$$
y_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{1 i}+\beta_{2} x_{2 i}+\cdots+\beta_{k} x_{k i}+u_{i}
$$

## What is it?

- When 2 (collinearity) or more (multicollinearity) of your independent variables are highly correlated with one another


## What is the problem?

- Coefficients change substantially with small changes in independent variables
- Illogical/unexpected coefficients


## Multicollinearity

## Why might it happen?

- Too many independent variables ("overspecified" model)
- Including dummy variable for your reference group
- True population correlation between variables is high


## Multicollinearity

Easy check: ggpairs(), pairs(), etc.


## Multicollinearity

## What to do about it?

- More data helps, if possible
- Check if some variables should be omitted based on theory/conceptual model (e.g., reference group dummy)?
- Eliminate highly correlated variables (ensure your interpretation changes accordingly)
- E.g., temperature and humidity

Slides created via the R package xaringan.
Some slides and slide components were borrowed from Ed Rubin's awesome course materials.

